

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/586,190	Applicant(s) RASBAND ET AL.	
	Examiner Fekadeselassie Girma	Art Unit 2612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Fekadeselassie Girma. (3) ____.
- (2) John Kacvinsky. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 2, 10 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sturm (US 5235336) and Eisenberg (US 2002/0196126).

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

There are three claims, claims 1-37, filed on 06/05/2008, claims 1-20, filed on 06/05/2008 and claims 1-20, filed on 07/14/2006. Applicant representative agreed to prosecute the claim 1-20, filed on 06/05/2008. Examiner proposed that claims 12 & 13 are indicated as allowable subject matter if it is included into claim 1, and 18. Applicant agreed with examiner's proposed claims. Prior arts Sturm (US 5235336) and Eisenberg (US 2002/0196126) were presented to applicant during the interview. These topics discussed to shorten (compact) prosecution. Applicant representative was contacted on 21 November 2011, for authorization of amendment. An agreement was reached concerning the amendment, and authorization was given.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Jennifer Mehmood/
Acting SPE of Art Unit 2612